

GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AREA 7

Administered by Sutton County Underground Water Conservation District

Meredith Allen, GMA #7 Coordinator

Sutton County Underground Water Conservation District

e-mail: manager@suttoncountyuwcd.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: District Representatives wholly or partially in GMA 7

FROM: Meredith Allen, GMA 7 Coordinator
Sutton County Underground Water Conservation District

DATE: September 21, 2026

SUBJECT: Compiled Comments after DFC Hearing
Required by Texas Water Code § 36.108(d-2) and (d-2a)

As of September 21, 2026, the following Districts have held public hearings in accordance with Texas Water Code §§ 36.108(d) and 36.063. In the notice, we included a statement that a 90-day public comment period began on May 5, 2026, which as you know is the date the proposed DFCs were mailed to the GMA 7 districts.

District	Hearing Date	Comments Received	Suggested Changes
Coke County Underground Water Conservation District	9/8/2026	no	no
Crockett County Groundwater Conservation District	7/13/2026	no	no
Glasscock Groundwater Conservation District	5/19/2026	no	no
Hickory Underground Water Conservation District No. 1	6/11/2026	no	no
Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District	7/14/2026	no	no
Irion County Water Conservation District	6/8/2026	no	no
Kimble County Groundwater Conservation District	6/22/2026	no	no
Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District	6/16/2026	Yes (attached)	no
Lipan-Kickapoo Water Conservation District	6/3/2026	no	no
Lone Wolf Groundwater Conservation District	9/21/2026	no	no
Menard County Underground Water District	7/15/2026	no	no
Middle Pecos Groundwater Conservation District	7/21/2026	no	no
Plateau Underground Water Conservation & Supply District	6/4/2026	no	no
Real-Edwards Conservation And Reclamation District	6/10/2026	no	no
Santa Rita Underground Water Conservation District	6/16/2026	no	no
Sterling County Underground Water Conservation District	6/8/2026	no	no
Sutton County Underground Water Conservation District	6/9/2026	no	no
Terrell County Groundwater Conservation District	7/15/2026	no	no
Uvalde County Underground Water Conservation District	7/22/2026	no	no
Wes-Tex Groundwater Conservation District	6/25/2026	no	no

The Kinney County GCD received public comments but are not requesting any changes. The comments are attached to this memo.

Groundwater Management Area # 7

(districts located wholly or partly within this GMA):

Coke County UWCD; Crockett County GCD; Glasscock GCD; Hickory UWCD No. 1; Hill Country UWCD; Irion County WCD; Kimble County GCD; Kinney County GCD; Lipan-Kickapoo WCD; Lone Wolf GCD; Menard County UWD; Middle Pecos GCD; Plateau UWC&SD; Real-Edwards C&RD; Santa Rita UWCD; Sterling County UWCD; Sutton County UWCD; Terrell County GCD; Uvalde County UWCD; and Wes-Tex GCD



Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District

P.O. Box 369 Brackettville, TX. 78832 Phone (830)563-9699 Fax (830) 563-9606

503 South Ann Street Brackettville, Texas 78832

Email: kinneyh2o@att.net Website: www.kinneycountygcd.org

Directors:

Wes Robison, President Blake Ward, Vice President Matt Bland, Secretary/Treasurer

Ben Lewis Randy Schott Perry Menley David Palmer

Employees

Genell Hobbs, General Manager

Office Secretary: Bonnie Brotherton Field Technician: Martina Payne

On June 16, 2026, at 6:00 p.m. at the District's office, the District held a hearing on the proposed DFCs approved by the GMA 7 districts. The district's hearing notice was posted in accordance with Texas Water Code §§ 36.108(d) and 36.063. The notice stated that a 90- day public comment period began on May 1, 2026, and the public comments ended on August 2, 2026.

We received several public comments and have attached them to the email sent.

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON PROPOSED DFCs for GMA 7

Received by the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District

The Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District held a public hearing on June 16, 2026, to receive public comment regarding the current proposed DFCs for GMA 7. The following is a summary of those comments and those received during the public comment period.

1. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of Comment: Supports DFC that protects spring flow and the natural systems that depend on it. Written comments are attached.

2. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of Comment: Supports a spring-based DFC due to potential economic impacts of no flow events. No written comments submitted.

3. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports new DFC, concerned with recent no flow events. No written comments submitted.

4. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports new DFC because of the fort and swimming pool. No written comments submitted.

5. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Opposes Spring based DFC, due to management plan alignment, private property vulnerability, Rule 5.03 Compliance, in favor of an "aquifer matrix-based DFC". "Using aquifer depth measurements instead of HOA controlled stream flow data provides a much more accurate, fair, and legally defensible basis for water management." Written comments are attached.

6. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports new DFC to protect the community, supports a drought management plan because of the population decline in the county. No written comments submitted.

7. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC but believes it should be in GMA 10 due to close correlations between groundwater levels in GMA 10 and Las Moras Springs flow. Written comments are attached.

8. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC because springs are a direct indicator of aquifer health. Also, due to the springs sustaining local residents, wildlife, habitat and because the springs “defines the identity and economy of Fort Clark Springs, Brackettville, and Kinney County.” Written comments are attached.

9. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC because water is life. Written comments are attached.

10. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports new DFC because Las Moras Springs has gone dry with more frequencies and for longer periods during the last few years. No written comments submitted.

11. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports new DFC due to lack of spring flow over the last 5 years. Believes should be in GMA 7. Written comments are attached.

The following is a summary of written comments received during the public comment period.

12. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Opposed to Las Moras Spring flow as a DFC for GMA 7. Believes hydrologists agree Las Moras Springs issues from the Edwards Aquifer, which is in GMA 10. Written comments attached.

13. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC. Written comments attached.

14. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC. Written comments attached.

15. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Apparently opposes new DFC due to spring being in the Edwards Aquifer. Written comments attached.

16. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC for continued availability of groundwater. Written comments attached.

17. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC, written comments attached.

18. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Opposes new DFC, believes 15 CFS is too low and should be 21 CFS. Written comments attached.

19. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC, written comments attached.

20. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Encourages GMA 7 to keep current MAG.


21. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC, written comments attached.



Wes Robinson

KCGCD Board President



Date

Public Hearing GMA7 6/16/26 6:00pm

LeAnn Loftus - Supports New DFC

Charlene Covender - (Spring brings in Money) Lack of Spring is causing property ~~issues~~ / Water for hunting.

Andrew Austen - Supports New DFC

Holley Dwong - Supports New DFC

Tracy Boulware - Supports on Aquifer Matrix based DFC
Does not support New DFC. Spring can be manipulated.

Richard Gonzales - Population decline, Drought Plan, Protect the community. ~~Increased~~ Increased

Curtis Bohme - DFC should be in GMA 10

Christie Palmer - Spring is a direct indicator of aquifer health. Supports New DFC.

Lisa Rogers - Writer did not buy membership due to lack of spring ~~flow~~.

Gage Brown - 2022 Co-Land - LMSCHA. Supports New DFC.

Der Dee Brown -

Edwina Perez - Supports DFC / Supports the Board

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON PROPOSED DFCs for GMA 7

Received by the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District

The Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District held a public hearing on June 16, 2026, to receive public comment regarding the current proposed DFCs for GMA 7.

1. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of Comment: Supports DFC that protects spring flow and the natural systems that depend on it. Written comments are attached.

2. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of Comment: Supports a spring-based DFC due to potential economic impacts of no flow events. No written comments submitted.

3. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports new DFC, concerned with recent no flow events. No written comments submitted.

4. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports new DFC because of the fort and swimming pool. No written comments submitted.

5. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Opposes Spring based DFC, due to management plan alignment, private property vulnerability, Rule 5.03 Compliance, in favor of an "aquifer matrix-based DFC". "Using aquifer depth measurements instead of HOA controlled stream flow data provides a much more accurate, fair, and legally defensible basis for water management." Written comments are attached.

6. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports new DFC to protect the community, supports a drought management plan because of the population decline in the county. No written comments submitted.

7. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC but believes it should be in GMA 10 due to close correlations between groundwater levels in GMA 10 and Las Moras Springs flow. Written comments are attached.

8. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC because springs are a direct indicator of aquifer health. Also, due to the springs sustaining local residents, wildlife, habitat and because the springs “defines the identity and economy of Fort Clark Springs, Brackettville, and Kinney County.” Written comments are attached.

9. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC because water is life. Written comments are attached.

10. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports new DFC because Las Moras Springs has gone dry with more frequencies and for longer periods during the last few years. No written comments submitted.

11. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports new DFC due to lack of spring flow over the last 5 years. Believes should be in GMA 7. Written comments are attached.

In addition, the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District received several written comments during the 90-day public comment period concerning the proposed DFCs for GMA 7. The following is a summary of those attached comments.

12. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Opposed to Las Moras Spring flow as a DFC for GMA 7. Believes hydrologists agree Las Moras Springs issues from the Edwards Aquifer, which is in GMA 10. Written comments attached.

13. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC. Written comments attached.

14. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC. Written comments attached.

15. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Apparently opposes new DFC due to spring being in the Edwards Aquifer. Written comments attached.

16. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC for continued availability of groundwater. Written comments attached.

17. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC, written comments attached.

18. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Opposes new DFC, believes 15 CFS is too low and should be 21 CFS. Written comments attached.

19. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment: Supports DFC, written comments attached.

20. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment : Encourages GMA 7 to keep current MAG.

21. DFC Las Moras Springs

Summary of comment : Supports DFC, written comments attached.

I support this new Desired Future Condition that protects spring flow and the natural systems that depend on it. Springs are often the first indicator of aquifer stress, and preserving our spring helps maintain ecological balance and supports the heart of our local community. When we first moved to Laughlin AFB in 2003, we were homeschooling. One morning, I was reading to the kids some information about our area and Texas, trying to make them familiar with where we now lived. As I was reading, I read about an area between the Nueces and the Rio Grande that, at least back in the 1800's, was considered a barren wasteland because of lack of water. I suddenly realized that's the area we had moved to. However, where pockets of water were, it was not a barren wasteland. Now, we need to work to keep our area from not being turned into one of those barren wastelands by doing whatever we can to maintain our aquifer. I have friends on both sides of this argument, as do many of those here, so we need to find a way to protect what the ranchers need as well as saving our springs, which is a major draw to our area. It's hard enough to attract people to Brackettville. Without the springs, it will only hurt this area. Let's not allow this little oasis in Texas to be destroyed. This new DFC is needed. Thank you!

#5

Date: June 16, 2026

From:

Tracy Boulware

Kinney County Water Permit Holder

To:

Board of Directors and General Manager

Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District (KCGCD)

P.O. Box 369

Brackettville, TX 78832

Subject: Official Public Comment and Technical Inquiries Regarding Proposed Desired Future Conditions (DFCs) and Aquifer Management Metrics

Dear General Manager and Members of the Board,

I am writing to formally submit specific technical inquiries regarding the District's ongoing reliance on a single surface discharge point—Las Moras Springs flow—as the primary regulatory trigger for regional groundwater management.

Under Texas Water Code § 36.108, this District is legally required to use the "*best available science*" to develop and enforce its Desired Future Conditions (DFCs). Furthermore, Section V of the District's own 2023 Management Plan mandates the *prevention of localized aquifer depletion across separate management zones*. The historical record demonstrates that managing a complex karst aquifer based strictly on surface spring flow is scientifically flawed and fails to protect rural well owners in northern and western Kinney County.

Using aquifer depth measurements instead of HOA-controlled stream flow data provides a much more accurate, fair, and legally defensible basis for water management.

1. Scientific Accuracy and Reliability

- **Direct measurement:** Aquifer levels reflect the true volume of the shared groundwater resource.
- **No human interference:** Stream flows can be artificially manipulated by HOA valve adjustments.
- **Climate resilience:** Groundwater levels show long-term water availability, ignoring temporary surface weather spikes.
- **Reduced evaporation bias:** Stream data changes constantly due to sun, wind, and surface evaporation.

2. Conflict of Interest Mitigation

- **Neutral data:** Well depth gauges provide objective, automated data free from community politics.
- **Eliminates bias:** HOAs have a vested interest in prioritizing neighborhood aesthetics over regional water conservation.
- **Prevents data tampering:** Physical access to stream infrastructure allows potential gatekeeping or falsification of flow rates.

3. Legal and Regulatory Protection

- **Standardized metrics:** Government agencies rely on aquifer data, making your records legally compliant.
- **Clear liability:** Groundwater tracking isolates your actual water usage from downstream HOA infrastructure failures.
- **Easier dispute resolution:** Hard digital depth logs hold up better in court than highly variable stream flow estimates. [1]

While spring discharge is a truer reflection of groundwater conditions than overall streamflow (because it lacks the immediate noise of surface storm runoff), it remains a **highly unstable and risky primary metric** for DFCs due to non-linear hydraulics and localized geology.

The primary scientific and regulatory reasons why relying on spring discharge instead of aquifer depth is a poor approach for establishing DFCs include:

1. The "All-or-Nothing" Threshold Effect (Non-Linear Hydraulics)

Spring discharge does not decline linearly with aquifer storage. Many springs operate on a threshold basis—particularly in karst or fractured rock systems.

[<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169426003185>]

Management Flaw: Using springflow as a DFC indicator creates a false sense of security. It fails to give water managers early warnings of localized depletion until the spring completely dries up.

• 2. High Vulnerability to Conduit and Karst Geometry [1]

Springs—especially major ones used for regional management—are frequently fed by complex underground conduits, caves, and fractures rather than uniform, porous matrix flow. [1]

- **Management Flaw:** A DFC based entirely on a spring's discharge can easily overprotect or underprotect the surrounding aquifer, as it monitors a specialized pathway rather than regional volumetric storage.

•

3. Atmospheric and Barometric Noise

Unlike deep monitoring wells that isolate the physical volume of water in storage, spring orifices are open to the atmosphere and heavily influenced by localized, short-term variables.

- **Management Flaw:** These surface-level variations introduce regulatory "noise" into the data. This makes it incredibly difficult to legally prove whether a drop in spring discharge is caused by regional groundwater pumping or simply a dry, hot summer affecting the immediate spring run.

Summary Metric Comparison

Feature [1]	Aquifer Depth / Head	Spring Discharge	Streamflow Data
Data Signal	Direct volumetric storage	Groundwater pressure at a single point	Combined runoff and baseflow blend
Early Warning Value	Excellent (shows steady, measurable decline)	Poor (can stay steady then suddenly drop to zero)	Very Poor (masked by rain events)
Geographic Scope	Regional monitoring grid	Highly localized to one specific opening	Aggregated over an entire basin
Best Regulatory Use	Core DFC metric	Secondary environmental target	Surface water right allocation only

Data compiled by independent hydrologists and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) proves that Las Moras Springs is an unreliable proxy for localized water table health.

To ensure these systemic vulnerabilities are addressed before any final vote on the DFCs, I request that the District's technical counsel and Board of Directors provide written responses to the following questions on the public record:

Technical Questions for the District's Consulting Hydrologist


1. **Spatial Representation:** Given that Las Moras Springs is a single discharge point at the southern end of Kinney County, what peer-reviewed, hydrogeological evidence can you present proving that its flow accurately reflects localized aquifer drawdowns in Management Zones 1 and 2 to the north and west?
2. **Lagging vs. Leading Indicators:** From a data-modeling perspective, isn't it true that spring flow is a *lagging indicator* of aquifer depletion, meaning that severe water-table drawdowns occur across rural properties long before the surface springs completely dry up?
3. **Karst Modeling Margin of Error:** Because the Edwards-Trinity is a conduit-dominated karst system, how can the District scientifically justify using highly volatile, "flashy" surface spring spikes—which respond immediately to isolated rain events—as a baseline for multi-year groundwater availability?
4. **Pumping Distortion:** *How does your current groundwater model accurately isolate and subtract the localized drawdown impacts caused by municipal pumping from the City of Brackettville and the Fort Clark MUD from the regional aquifer baseline?*

Regulatory Questions for the KCGCD Board of Directors

1. **Management Plan Alignment:** Section V of your 2023 Management Plan commits this District to preventing localized depletion across separate management zones. How does managing the entire county based on a single surface discharge point on private property satisfy that explicit zone-management mandate?
2. **Private Property Vulnerability:** Since the headwaters of Las Moras Springs sit on the private property of Fort Clark Springs and are structurally modified to fill a private swimming pool, how can this Board guarantee that future structural alterations or localized pumping by a private entity won't distort the regulatory metrics used to restrict public water permits?
3. **Rule 5.03 Compliance:** Under KCGCD Rule 5.03, you are legally mandated to ensure groundwater withdrawals do not "**unreasonably affect existing users**". If you reject aquifer depth monitoring, how do you intend to detect or prevent localized well failures in northern Kinney County when your only metric is a surface gauge miles away?
4. **Best Available Science:** If the District's own technical counsel or independent hydrological data recommends transitioning to an aquifer depth matrix, on what specific, alternative scientific evidence is this Board relying to justify retaining the spring flow metric under Texas Water Code § 36.108?

I request that this letter be entered into the official record for the current DFC planning cycle and that explicit answers to each inquiry be provided in the District's final statement of public comments.

Respectfully submitted,



Tracy Boulware

Support for Inclusion of Las Moras Springs within Groundwater Management Area 10

Las Moras Springs is one of Texas' most important spring systems, serving as the source of Las Moras Creek and providing significant environmental, historical, recreational, and economic benefits to Kinney County and the surrounding region. Because the long-term health of the springs is directly dependent upon groundwater conditions in the Edwards aquifer system, Las Moras Springs should be included within the planning and management framework of GMA 10.

1. Groundwater Levels in GMA 10 Directly Influence Spring Flow

Scientific studies conducted for groundwater planning have demonstrated a relationship between groundwater levels in the Fresh Edwards Aquifer and flows at Las Moras Springs. GMA 10 has already established desired future conditions and monitoring targets that recognize the importance of maintaining groundwater levels associated with sustaining spring discharge. Including Las Moras Springs within GMA 10 would align management decisions with the hydrogeologic conditions most closely linked to spring flow protection.

2. Spring Protection Should Be a Primary Management Objective

Las Moras Springs is among the largest spring systems in Texas and represents a unique natural resource. Managing the springs within GMA 10 would ensure that groundwater planning explicitly considers protection of spring flow as a central objective rather than as a secondary consideration.

3. Consistent and Unified Groundwater Management

The aquifer systems affecting Las Moras Springs do not recognize administrative boundaries. A fragmented management approach can create uncertainty and conflicting groundwater objectives. Inclusion within GMA 10 would provide a more unified framework for groundwater planning, monitoring, and conservation efforts related to the spring system.

4. Protection of Local Economic and Cultural Resources

Las Moras Springs contributes to tourism, recreation, wildlife habitat, and the historical identity of Kinney County. The springs support downstream ecosystems and enhance property values and quality of life for local residents. Maintaining reliable spring flow benefits both current residents and future generations. GMA 10's groundwater management strategies provide a practical mechanism for protecting these community assets.

5. Long-Term Stewardship for Future Generations

Groundwater management should be based on sustainability and stewardship. Decisions made today will affect the availability of water resources for decades to come. Including Las Moras Springs in GMA 10 would strengthen efforts to preserve spring flow, protect groundwater-dependent ecosystems, and ensure that future generations inherit a healthy and productive aquifer system.

Conclusion

Las Moras Springs is a regionally significant natural resource whose continued existence depends on responsible groundwater management. Because groundwater conditions within GMA 10 are closely tied to spring flow, and because GMA 10 has already incorporated spring protection into its planning framework, Las Moras Springs should be included within GMA 10. Doing so would promote scientific consistency, resource protection, and long-term water sustainability for Kinney County and the State of Texas.

Respectfully submitted,

Curtis Boehme
Date: 6/16/2026

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Curtis Boehme". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized "C" at the beginning.

Part II

1. Hydrologic Connection to GMA 10 Aquifers

Groundwater levels monitored in the GMA 10 portion of Kinney County have been shown to correlate strongly with flows at Las Moras Springs. Texas Water Development Board studies found that maintaining groundwater levels at the Tularosa Monitoring Well (used by GMA 10) is directly related to protecting spring flow.

2. Unified Management of the Spring System

Las Moras Springs is one of the most significant spring systems in Texas and is heavily influenced by groundwater conditions across Kinney County. Having the springs managed within the same GMA that already uses groundwater-level targets tied to spring flow could simplify planning and regulation.

3. Consistency in Desired Future Conditions (DFCs)

GMA 10 adopted groundwater-level goals specifically intended to protect Las Moras Springs. According to TWDB reports, the GMA 10 water-level target and the spring-flow target used elsewhere were chosen for essentially the same purpose—maintaining spring discharge.

4. Kinney County Is Already Split Between GMA 7 and GMA 10

The Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District participates in both GMA 7 and GMA 10. Bringing Las Moras Springs under GMA 10 could reduce complications created by managing interconnected groundwater resources through two separate planning areas.

5. Protection of a Major Texas Spring

Las Moras Springs is the ninth-largest spring group in Texas and provides the headwaters of Las Moras Creek. Because of its environmental, historical, and economic importance, supporters may argue it should be managed by the GMA whose planning metrics are directly tied to maintaining spring flow.

Respectfully submitted,

Curtis Boehme
Date: 6/16/2026



June 16, 2026

Members of the Board,

The mission of this district is clearly defined in Texas law. Our first and foremost responsibility is to **protect the health and sustainability of the aquifer**. Everything else flows from that duty.

Here in Kinney County, that responsibility is visible and deeply personal.

The Spring Is the Heart of This Community

- It sustains local residents
- It supports wildlife and habitat
- It defines the identity and economy of Fort Clark Springs, Brackettville, and Kinney County
- It is a direct, visible indicator of aquifer health

When the aquifer declines, the spring declines. When the spring declines, the community declines.

If we prioritize short-term production or individual permits ahead of aquifer health, we risk:

- Permanent damage to the spring
- Loss of water security for all residents
- Legal and financial consequences for the district
- Irreversible harm to Kinney County's natural heritage

Here in Kinney County, protecting the aquifer means protecting the spring—because the spring is the clearest expression of whether we are doing our job.

I support the adoption of the new spring-based DFC. It is written in plain language and will utilize a simplified method of data evaluation. This will enable the district to monitor and regulate the groundwater in a way that is understandable and fair for all stakeholders.

The board of directors consulted their hydrologist, considered the 9 factors required by Chapter 36 of the Texas Water Code, and listened to public concerns about the health of the aquifer before they voted unanimously on this new DFC.

Thank you.

Christie Palmer
Kinney County resident
20 Colony Row U0872
Brackettville TX

This will be my fourth summer here so #9
needless to say I'm not invested in this
town as many of you are. I'm ok if
I have to move if the spring goes dry
permanently because I will not be buying
bottled water. Especially bottled water
that was pumped causing the spring
to go dry.

But me being here doesn't mean I don't
care and it doesn't mean that I don't
understand what it means if the
spring permanently goes dry. That
spring is the only thing this town
has to offer the world. Without it,
there's no pool, no game life, no birds,
no butterflies. Maybe not even people.
We need the spring
Water is life

L. Rogers
309 E Veltman St.

Dear GMA 7,

11

I'm supportive of the proposed update to the GMA 7 Desired Future Condition (DFC) for Kinney County that requires flow in Las Moras Springs to be maintained at or above 15 CFS when measured over a 5 year period.

The natural average spring flow of Las Moras Springs is over 20 CFS. This new DFC represents a reasonable approach and a compromise on the part of the spring.

It is critical that the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District fulfill its State mandated role of regulating groundwater pumping in order to achieve this DFC.

Over the past 5 years, Las Moras springs has been dry for the majority of the time. It has killed fish, trees, and wildlife. It has caused residents to leave our community. It has reduced the tax base for the city and county. It has put our school tax base and human resources at risk as future parents and teachers decide whether or not to live in a community that has lost its primary source of natural beauty. It has reduced hunting income. It has reduced business income from residents or visitors who did not stay or come to Brackettville due to the lack of a spring, wildlife, and recreational swimming. It impacts every member of the Kinney County Community. In addition many landowners wells have gone dry or had to be deepened at great expense, it is scientifically proven that Las Moras Springs and other springs throughout the county are barometers and the "canary in the coal mine" to tell us the health of our aquifers. I'm a fifth generation Kinney County resident and a local realtor and historical preservationist. I want my grandson and future generations to be able to enjoy our springs creeks and ranching lifestyle that myself and my ancestors enjoyed. It is imperative we take managing the withdrawal of groundwater seriously, I find that a fair spring based dfc is the best way to do this after much research of published science by noted hydrologists including the opinion of our current district hydrogeologist, Vince Clause.

The State of Texas created groundwater districts for the express purpose of limiting pumping to protect aquifers and springs. I would ask that GMA 7 approve the proposed DFC of maintaining Las Moras Springs flow rate at or above 15 CFS when measured over a 5 year period.

Kind Regards,
Deedee S. Brown,
Concerned Kinney Co
citizen and voter

RECEIVED
11-15-26

#12

DENNETTE ORWIG

P O box 1939

Brackettville, Texas. 78832

habyranch@gmail.com

RECEIVED
7/30/2026

July 30, 2026

Wes Robinson
President
Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District
P O Box 369
Brackettville, Texas 78832

Dear Wes:

I am writing to oppose using Los Moras Spring flow as the basis for the Desired Future Condition (DFC) for GMA 7, as proposed by the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District (the District). I also request that the Managed Available Groundwater (MAG) for GMA 7 not be based on current pumping levels.

Hydrologists agree that Los Moras Spring issues from the Edwards Aquifer, which is in GMA 10. For that reason, I do not believe Los Moras Spring is an appropriate measure for establishing a DFC for GMA 7.

My primary concern regarding lowering the MAG is that this can ultimately be used to reduce existing groundwater permits. Most groundwater permits were issued based on historic pumping volumes that were established through contested case hearings before the District. Reducing permitted pumping to reflect only current pumping levels would undermine those established property rights and almost certainly lead to landowners defending their property rights in court. As a result, District funds that would have been available for continued investment in scientific research and groundwater management, would instead likely need to be diverted to litigation expenses. As my father always said, "When you have to settle things with a room full of lawyers, everybody loses."

I believe my concern is well-founded because the Los Moras Springs Conservation Group continues to urge the District to adopt a Drought Contingency Plan that would limit or curtail groundwater use during periods of low spring flow, even though their proposals are contradicted by the recommendations of the District's own consultants.


For example, in his February 5, 2025, letter, Dr. Hutchinson stated:

"Under ideal circumstances (i.e. a quantitative link between irrigation well pumping and Las Moras Spring flow is known) short-term cutbacks to irrigation pumping during drought periods would likely be ineffective and result in minimal spring flow increases. This could be viewed as unnecessarily burdensome to affected permit holders."

I understand that effective groundwater regulation is necessary to protect our aquifers. However, those regulations should be based on sound science. Farmers are voluntarily allowing the District to monitor their wells to identify which wells do (and which wells do not) directly affect Los Moras Springs. The data collected from these monitored wells will enable the District to establish new Groundwater Management Zones that are based on this new scientific data. Completing this critical monitoring step and then establishing new Groundwater Management Zones will allow the District to create a Drought Contingency Plan that includes an achievable Desired Future Condition.

I respectfully urge the District to base its decisions on sound scientific evidence and establish new Groundwater Management Zones before adopting additional restrictions. Moreover, I strongly recommend that GMA 7 refrain from lowering the MAG based solely on current pumping levels.

Sincerely:

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Dennette Orwig".

Dennette Orwig

July 20, 2026

To the members of the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District

We are writing this letter in support of the Desired Future Condition rule proposal for the Kinney County portion of ground water management area seven. This proposal requires a DFC of 15 CFS.

Recent hydrologist studies show that our aquifer is unhealthy, which is apparent by the current state of the Las Morales creek with minimal flow from early spring through winter over the last 5 years. Other Kinney County springs and private wells have also run dry over this period.

Although this area of Texas has experienced several years of extensive drought there has been no action taken from the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District to protect our water resources and their sustainability. Implementing this proposed DFC will be a critical step toward protecting our water.

Respectfully Submitted

Manny and Rebecca Campo

#14

Public Comment DFC

I support the proposed Desired Future Condition for the Region 7 portion of the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District, "Daily mean spring discharge measured as Las Moras Springs shall not be less than 15 cubic feet per second (cfs) when averaged over 5 years."

- The Edwards-Trinity Aquifer flow into Las Moras Springs is the water source for the entire population of Kinney County. Protecting access to water for the district is the mission of the KCGCD.
- Spring flow is a natural, historic indicator of aquifer health.
- Spring flow is essential to the economic health of Kinney County. It is the reason that the communities of Fort Clark Springs and Brackettville exist.
- Spring flow has drawn humans and wildlife to this location for thousands of years, and protecting it maintains a unique ecological environment.
- When flowing at or near historic averages, Las Moras is a part of a spring complex that provides essential flow to the Rio Grande River, contributing critical fresh water that helps keep salinity levels downstream within useable parameters for drinking water and irrigation.
- This DFC has a sound basis in the best data available as compiled and interpreted by district hydrogeologist Vince Clause of Frieze and Nichols.
- This DFC is more clearly worded and more easily measured than the previous DFC.

Teri Marsh

713-882-9981

tmarshtx@gmail.com

203 Oak Lane, U1053

Brackettville, TX 78832

#15

July 31, 2026
Groundwater Management Area 7 (GMA 7)
Meredith E. Allen, GM
Sutton County Underground Water Conservation District
301 South Crockett Ave.

Kinney County MAG (Modeled Available Groundwater)

To: The Members of GMA 7 Planning Committee:

Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District held the hearing for our Historical Water Use Permit EH31 in 2002. Several of the historical permit hearings in Pinto Valley were contested case hearings. Our contested historical use hearing lasted 8 to 10 hours. in 2002.

The 2002 Kinney County Groundwater District Board voted on the McDaniel Farms Inc Permit and voted to grant us 70+% less water than the District's expert's recommended amount. This resulted in a lawsuit which was in court for multiple years. During all of the years each of the rulings were for the plaintiffs which were the landowners. Eventually there was a settlement with the District. The permit for McDaniel Farms Inc. ultimately was for 4,044 acre feet of groundwater rights.

The permitted amount of water for Kinney County in 2002 was 72,613.44 acre feet. This groundwater was proven up by landowners during their permit hearing.

Reducing the MAG is unacceptable. If the MAG is reduced the district may try and reduce our permits which are property rights.

Pinto Springs and Las Moras Springs are in the Edward's Aquifer Springs. The Edward's Aquifer Authority claims both of these springs on their website. During the permit hearings experts clarified which wells were located in the Edward's Aquifer. McDaniel Farms Inc permit EH31 was issued in the Edward Trinity Aquifer. The water from the Edward Trinity Aquifer flows to the southwest where as the Edwards Aquifer flows east.

When making your decision in regard to the MAG numbers we hope you honor our historical and existing use permits. Our permits were granted to us by following all the rules of Chapter 36. We have always cooperated with the district. All our wells located in Pinto Valley have meters and have allowed the district to monitor them as deemed necessary. Hoping the decision of GMA 7 will be made only on Scientific data and not be influenced to satisfy a small group of people's public agenda. We farmed 35 years in Pinto Valley and never had to lower the wells during a drought. We were always blessed to have flowing wells and plenty of water to irrigated our crops and provide for our family.

Respectfully,

James McDaniel

James McDaniel Sec.



16

July 31, 2026

Board of Directors
Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District
503 S. Ann St.
Brackettville, TX 78832

Re: Support for Proposed GMA 7 Desired Future Condition for Kinney County

Dear Directors and GMA 7 Representatives:

On behalf of the Fort Clark Municipal Utility District, I am writing in support of the proposed Desired Future Condition for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer within the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District.

"Daily mean spring discharge measured at Las Moras Springs shall not be less than 15 cubic feet per second when averaged over five years."

Fort Clark MUD provides essential water and wastewater services to the Fort Clark community. The continued availability of reliable groundwater is critical to our residents, businesses, public facilities, and the long-term viability of the community.

Fort Clark MUD supports the use of measured discharge at Las Moras Springs as an indicator of local groundwater conditions. The proposed language gives groundwater managers, public water providers, and residents a clear and observable benchmark. The five-year averaging period recognizes that springflow naturally changes in response to rainfall, recharge, and prolonged drought while still establishing a meaningful long-term groundwater-management objective.

Las Moras Springs is central to the history, identity, quality of life, and economic future of Fort Clark and Kinney County. Placing the condition of the springs at the center of long-range groundwater planning establishes a direct connection between groundwater-management decisions and a resource the public can see and understand.

We recognize that a Desired Future Condition does not, by itself, establish pumping limits or determine the outcome of individual permit applications. It does, however, establish the groundwater condition that local districts should seek to achieve and preserve. It will also provide an important foundation for modeled available groundwater calculations, district management plans, and future water-planning decisions.

Fort Clark MUD respectfully encourages GMA 7 and its participating groundwater districts to adopt the proposed language. We also encourage the development of transparent implementation procedures that identify the official measurement location, establish a consistent method for calculating the five-year average, provide regular public reporting, and explain what

RECEIVED
8/2/26

management actions may be considered if the average approaches or falls below the adopted threshold

Fort Clark MUD appreciates the work of the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District and the other GMA 7 districts in developing a measurable and understandable standard for the Edwards-Trinity Aquifer. We believe the proposed Desired Future Condition represents an important step toward responsible groundwater management and the long-term protection of Kinney County's water resources

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Randy Castilla", is written over a light blue horizontal line.

Randy Castilla
General Manager
Fort Clark Municipal Utility District
310 Swim Park Lane
Brackettville, TX 78832
(830) 563-2828

cc: Fort Clark Municipal Utility District Board of Directors

#17


Letter of Support new DFC, Kinney County (Please include in submissions to GMA7 and KCGWD)

July 27, 2026

KCGWD unanimously voted to support a new DFC of not less than 15 cubic feet per second. As a 22 year resident of Fort Clark Springs, Kinney County, I saw a healthy spring flow until we experienced extreme drought and increased useage. Here is my rationale.

1. The new DFC has a sound, scientific, current, and most available data that was interpreted by our KCGWD hydrologist Vince Clause of Frieze and Nichols.
2. According to multiple hydrologists who have been involved here, spring flow is a natural and historic indicator of aquifer health.
3. Spring flow is essential to our local economy, and has drawn wildlife and humans to this area for thousands of years. When it is protected, it creates and maintains an extremely unique ecological environment.
4. Our Spring flow is critical as it flows downstream to the Rio Grande, because it contributes fresh water that keeps a balance with saline levels...fresh clean water for drinking.
5. This new DFC is easily measured and understandable.

Respectfully Submitted,


Elizabeth Hodges

830/563-7483

Elizkatmil321@gmail.com

321 Fort Clark Road, Brackettville TX78832

#18

RE: Goal for Las Moras Spring

From: manager@suttoncountywcd.org (manager@suttoncountywcd.org)

To: mkhcsu61@gmail.com

Cc: kinneyh2o@att.net

Date: Thursday, July 23, 2026 at 02:10 PM CDT

Madeline,

I cannot take public comments for Kinney County as I do not represent Kinney County. I am the GMA 7 coordinator – that means I am responsible for posting notices and organizing the meeting. Please be sure to submit these comments to Kinney County GCD. I am not sure why my email was listed to receive public comments, but I can only do that for the District I represent. I will be sure to notate your name and check that your comments are submitted for Kinney County.

Thanks,
Meredith Allen

From: Madeline Horn <mkhcsu61@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2026 11:55 AM

To: manager@suttoncountywcd.org

Subject: Goal for Las Moras Spring

Dear Meredith Allen: I am a resident of Fort Clark Springs, where the majority of Brackettville residents live and where Las Moras Spring is located.

I don't know how familiar you are with Kinney County, but without the spring the oasis of Fort Clark Springs would revert to scrub desert like the rest of this sparsely populated county. It would be the death knell for this community which exists because of the spring and the creek and ecosystem it supports.

The proposed goal of 15 cfs on average is 6 cfs below the current average of 21 cfs -- an average that has the spring going dry for months each year currently. It is heartbreaking to see the wildlife that have died due to current inconsistency in flow. Our goal should be to recover flow, not to cut it further. Please reject any goal of less than 21 cfs.

Thank you, Madeline Horn, 157 Avenida Juarez, Fort Clark Springs

Dear GMA 7

I'm supportive of the proposed update to the GMA 7 Desired Future Condition (DFC) for Kinney County that requires flow in Las Moras Springs to be maintained at or above 15 CFS when measured over a 5 year period.

The average spring flow of Las Moras Springs is over 20 CFS. This new DFC represents a reasonable approach and a compromise on the part of the spring.

It is critical that the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District fulfill its State mandated role of regulating groundwater pumping in order to achieve this DFC.

Las Moras Springs is the reason Fort Clark Springs and subsequently Brackettville were founded in our County. Today, Las Moras Springs is fundamental to the continued well being of our town, county, and aquifer.

Economically, Las Moras Springs and the trees and wildlife it supports are a large reason why many residents stay in Brackettville and why many residents move to Brackettville. Whether you live on the creek or not, all citizens of the county are impacted by the presence of the spring. The more people who choose to leave this county due to the lack of the spring, wildlife, and recreation the fewer customers will exist for stores and restaurants, the fewer students will attend our schools to qualify for State tax dollars, and the fewer residents there will be to pay city and county taxes. Businesses will go the way of the reduced population that will exist in the county if the spring remains perpetually dry, the pool closed, the trees destroyed, and the wildlife it supported gone.

Ecologically, the spring provides water that feeds oaks and pecans that developed along the creeks path. A water source that has been available year round for centuries. This water source has suddenly been removed for the bulk of the year 5 years in a row due to lack of regulation and increased groundwater pumping. Trees, fish, and wildlife that live in the forest surrounding the creek have either been killed or migrated away in mass due to the lack of water diverted by mass groundwater pumping.

Hydrologically, the spring is an excellent, visible, transparent indicator of the health of the spring. It cannot be artificially manipulated except by increasing or decreasing pumping. Rainfall has stayed within normal ranges throughout the past 5 years- pumping has not. Rainfall has varied by no more than 20% in any given year over the past 5 years. Pumping has varied by up to 300% over the past 5 years. Having a DFC that is visible to the community, represents a direct connection to the water levels of the aquifer, and has extreme impacts on the community built around the spring is why the spring has been used as the DFC for Kinney County for the past 20 years and the community as a whole widely supports continuing to use Las Moras Springs as the measuring stick for our Desired Future Condition.

Respectfully,

Will Conoly
Kinney County Rancher

July 27, 2026

Groundwater Management Area 7 (GMA 7)
Meredith E. Allen, GM
Sutton County Underground Water Conservation District
301 South Crockett Ave.
Sonora, Texas 76950

Kinney County MAG (Modeled Available Groundwater)

To The Members of GMA 7 Planning Committee:

I have owned and farmed an irrigated farm in Kinney County for forty plus years in an area locally referred to as "Pinto Valley". Being 73 years old and born and raised here, I well remember the extensive vegetable farming on a year round basis here using flood irrigation from the mid 1960s through the early 1980s. This was an important time period for us in securing our "Historical Water Use Permits" through contested case hearings in 2003. The Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District (KCGCD) board at that time, in issuing our historical permits, went against the recommendation of their own consultant in granting our proven up permit amounts resulting in litigation against the district for what we proved up as "Historical Use" and we won our case at every court level against the district (KCGCD) thus securing our "Historical Use Permits".

I strongly urge your committee (GMA 7) to use the "Historically Permitted" amount of groundwater for that portion of Kinney County located in GMA 7 and not reducing it from 70,000 ac ft to some mythical number to satisfy local political agendas – any drastic reduction from the current amount will result in future KCGCD boards choosing to reduce all permits to conform to their agenda(s). These water rights vested through our "Historical Permits" are a property right and any permit reduction by a future board would be conducive to a takings.

Respectfully,



Zack Davis

RECEIVED

7/31/26



#21

Las Moras DFC

From: walt weathersbee (waltcw@yahoo.com)

To: kinneyh2o@att.net

Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2026 at 09:43 AM CDT

I support the DFC to protect Las Moras Springs. Look at Balmorhea, how sad. Yes, I understand landowners water rights, however, water is a necessity of life for a population. There must be aa balance. Especially in times of drought. I spent my early childhood in Brackettville and my Grandmother lived there for many years. So, quite familiar with Las Moras and the agriculture which surrounds the Springs. I'm sure every competent Rancher or Farmer, whose property sits above the water vein, has the ability to adjust.

Cordially,

Walter C. Weathersbee
281-222-4934

KINNEY COUNTY THE POST
AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

See Proof on Next Page

Kinney County Post
303 W Thomas St
(713) 724-4226

I, Edmar Corachia, of lawful age, being duly sworn upon oath depose and say that I am an agent of Column Software, PBC, duly appointed and authorized agent of the Publisher of Kinney County Post, a publication that is a "legal newspaper" as that phrase is defined for the city of Brackettville, for the County of Kinney and Val Verde, in the state of Texas, that this affidavit is Page 1 of 2 with the full text of the sworn-to notice set forth on the pages that follow, and that the attachment hereto contains the correct copy of what was published in said legal newspaper in consecutive issues on the following dates:

PUBLICATION DATES:

Jun. 4, 2026

Notice ID: unX7SGY71b52AVXIBRaZ

Notice Name: Public Hearing

PUBLICATION FEE: \$288.25

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Edmar Corachia

Agent

VERIFICATION

State of New Jersey
County of Camden

Signed or attested before me on this: 06/04/2026

Sharon E. Thomas-Pope

Notary Public

Notarized remotely online using communication technology via Proof.

SHARONN E THOMAS-POPE
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF NEW JERSEY
My Commission Expires January 23, 2027

KINNEY COUNTY GROUNDWATER
CONSERVATION DISTRICT

NOTICE OF PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON DESIRED FUTURE CONDITIONS OF DISTRICT
AQUIFERS LOCATED WITHIN
GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AREA 7

Notice is hereby given that a 90-day public comment period opened on May 1, 2026 to take comments on proposed Desired Future Conditions for aquifers located in the District, which were adopted by designated representatives of Groundwater Management Area 7 districts at a joint planning meeting held on April 23, 2026 pursuant to Section 36.108 (d-2) of the Texas Water Code.

The aquifers for which proposed Desired Future Conditions were adopted are:

Edwards-Trinity (Plateau)

The District will issue separate notice for and hold a Public Hearing to take oral and written comments on these proposed Desired Future Conditions on June 16, 2026

The District will accept written comments on the proposed Desired Future Conditions at any time until 5:00 p.m. on Monday, August 2, 2026. Comments should be emailed or mailed to: kinneyh2o@att.net

Kinney County GCD P.O. Box 369 Brackettville, Texas 78832.

Copies of the proposed Desired Future Conditions and supporting materials, including explanatory reports, technical memoranda and groundwater availability model runs, are available at the District office.

For additional information, questions and comments please contact the district office. (830) 563-9699.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED DESIRED FUTURE CONDITIONS OF RELEVANT
AQUIFERS WITHIN

KINNEY COUNTY UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT IN GROUNDWATER
MANAGEMENT AREA 7

June 16, 2026, at 6:00 p.m.

503 South Ann Street Brackettville, Texas 78832

In accordance with Texas Water Code § 36.108, the Kinney County Groundwater Water Conservation District (District) will receive public input at a hearing on the proposed desired future conditions (DFCs) of groundwater resources located within Kinney County and surrounding areas located within Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 7. The district's proposed DFCs for the next 50-year regional planning cycle are:

Edwards-Trinity (Plateau): daily mean spring discharge measured at Las Moras Springs shall not be less than 15 cubic feet per second (cfs) when averaged over 5 years, continuous through 2080.

Pursuant to Texas Water Code § 36.108(d-2), a 90-day public comment period began on May 1, 2026 which is the date the proposed DFCs were mailed to the GMA 7 districts. Comments may be submitted orally or in writing during the district's hearing or in writing to the district's office, Attention General Manager, 503 South Ann, Brackettville, Texas, 78832. After this hearing and comment period, the district will compile for consideration at the next joint planning meeting of the GMA 7 districts a summary of relevant comments received, any suggested revisions to the proposed DFCs, and the basis for any revisions.

A copy of the proposed DFCs and supporting documents are available for review at the district's office. Please contact the District's General Manager at (830) 563-9699 for more information or to make arrangements to review the proposed DFCs and supporting documents.

KINNEY COUNTY GROUNDWATER
CONSERVATION DISTRICT

NOTICE OF PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON
DESIRED FUTURE CONDITIONS OF DISTRICT AQUIFERS
LOCATED WITHIN
GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AREA 7

Notice is hereby given that a 90-day public comment period opened on May 1, 2026 to take comments on proposed Desired Future Conditions for aquifers located in the District, which were adopted by designated representatives of Groundwater Management Area 7 districts at a joint planning meeting held on April 23, 2026 pursuant to Section 36.108 (d-2) of the Texas Water Code.

The aquifers for which proposed Desired Future Conditions were adopted are:

Edwards-Trinity (Plateau)

The District will issue separate notice for and hold a Public Hearing to take oral and written comments on these proposed Desired Future Conditions on **June 16, 2026**

The District will accept written comments on the proposed Desired Future Conditions at any time until 5:00 p.m. on Monday, August 2, 2026. Comments should be emailed or mailed to: kinneyh2o@att.net

Kinney County GCD
P.O. Box 369
Brackettville, Texas 78832

Copies of the proposed Desired Future Conditions and supporting materials, including explanatory reports, technical memoranda and groundwater availability model runs, are available at the District office.

For additional information, questions and comments please contact the district office. (830) 563-9699.

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED DESIRED FUTURE CONDITIONS
OF RELEVANT AQUIFERS WITHIN
KINNEY COUNTY UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT
IN GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AREA 7**

June 16, 2026, at 6:00 p.m.
503 South Ann Street Brackettville, Texas 78832

In accordance with Texas Water Code § 36.108, the Kinney County Groundwater Water Conservation District (District) will receive public input at a hearing on the proposed desired future conditions (DFCs) of groundwater resources located within Kinney County and surrounding areas located within Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 7. The district's proposed DFCs for the next 50-year regional planning cycle are:

Edwards-Trinity (Plateau): daily mean spring discharge measured at Las Moras Springs shall not be less than 15 cubic feet per second (cfs) when averaged over 5 years, continuous through 2080

Pursuant to Texas Water Code § 36.108(d-2), a 90-day public comment period began on May 1, 2026 which is the date the proposed DFCs were mailed to the GMA 7 districts. Comments may be submitted orally or in writing during the district's hearing or in writing to the district's office, Attention General Manager, 503 South Ann, Brackettville, Texas, 78832. After this hearing and comment period, the district will compile for consideration at the next joint planning meeting of the GMA 7 districts a summary of relevant comments received, any suggested revisions to the proposed DFCs, and the basis for any revisions.

A copy of the proposed DFCs and supporting documents are available for review at the district's office. Please contact the District's General Manager at (830) 563-9699 for more information or to make arrangements to review the proposed DFCs and supporting documents.

* * * *

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING OF THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE KINNEY COUNTY GROUNDWATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

NOTICE: Observers must have filled out a Public Participation Form and submitted it to the board prior to the beginning of the meeting.

Notice is hereby given that a PUBLIC HEARING of the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District will be held on **June 16, 2026, at 6:00 p.m. at the district office, 503 S. Ann Street, Brackettville, Texas**, at which the following subjects will be discussed, to wit:

MEETING AGENDA

1 Call to order.

Public Hearing: Desired Future Conditions of district aquifers located within Groundwater Management Area 7: Edwards-Trinity (Plateau)

- A. Open Public Hearing
- B. Public Comments
- C. Close the Public Hearing

FILED FOR RECORD
at 11:22 o'clock AM

JUN 04 2026

Ricardo Alvarado
COUNTY & DISTRICT CLERK, KINNEY CO.

2. Adjourn.

I, the undersigned authority, do hereby certify that the above NOTICE OF MEETING of the Board of Directors of the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District is a true and correct copy of said Notice. I have posted a true and correct copy of said Notice at the District's office, located in Brackettville, Texas, and said Notice was posted at 11:22 am/pm on June 4, 2026, a true and correct copy of said Notice was furnished to the Kinney County Clerk, in which the above-named political subdivision is located.

Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District

By:



Wes Robinson, President

Agenda items may be considered, deliberated and/ or acted upon in a different order than set forth above. If

during the course of the meeting covered by this agenda, the Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District Board determines that an executive session of said Board should be held or is required in relation to any item included on the agenda, the Board may adjourn to a closed meeting as authorized by the Open Meetings Act at the date, hour, and place given in this Agenda concerning any and all subjects, and for any and all purposes permitted by Section 551.071-551.074 of the Government Code, including but not limited to Section 551.071—for the purpose of a private consultation with the Board's attorney on any or all subjects or matters authorized by law.

The Kinney County Groundwater Conservation District is committed to compliance with the Disabilities Act (ADA). Reasonable accommodation and equal opportunity for effective communication will be provided upon request. Please contact the District Office at 830-563-9699 at least 24- hours in advance of the meeting if accommodation is needed.

Date:

6/16/26

Public Participation Form

Instructions: Fill out all appropriate blanks. Please print or write legibly.

NAME:

LeAnn Loftus

HOME ADDRESS:

10 Colony Row

TELEPHONE:

(830) 703-6338

EMAIL ADDRESS:

keiki_magistra@gmail.com

If you do represent a group or organization, please state the name, address and telephone number of that group or organization.

Which agenda item (or items) do you wish to address?

1B

NOTE: The public may also bring up any issue that is not on the current agenda as long as that issue is relevant to the jurisdiction and operations of the District but only for the purpose of asking that the issue be placed on a future agenda.

Signature:

LeAnn Loftus

NOTE: This Public Participation Form must be presented to the Board at the beginning of the Board meeting.

Date: 6/16/26

Public Participation Form

Instructions: Fill out all appropriate blanks. Please print or write legibly.

NAME:

Charlena Cavender

HOME ADDRESS:

TELEPHONE:

EMAIL ADDRESS:

If you do represent a group or organization, please state the name, address and telephone number of that group or organization.

Fort Clark Springs

Which agenda item (or items) do you wish to address?

B

NOTE: The public may also bring up any issue that is not on the current agenda as long as that issue is relevant to the jurisdiction and operations of the District but only for the purpose of asking that the issue be placed on a future agenda.

Signature:

Charlena Cavender

NOTE: This Public Participation Form must be presented to the Board at the beginning of the Board meeting.

Date: 6/16/26

Public Participation Form

Instructions: Fill out all appropriate blanks. Please print or write legibly.

NAME:

Ando Andrew Austin

HOME ADDRESS: _____

TELEPHONE: _____

EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

If you do represent a group or organization, please state the name, address and telephone number of that group or organization.

Which agenda item (or items) do you wish to address?

DFC

NOTE: The public may also bring up any issue that is not on the current agenda as long as that issue is relevant to the jurisdiction and operations of the District but only for the purpose of asking that the issue be placed on a future agenda.

Signature: _____



NOTE: This Public Participation Form must be presented to the Board at the beginning of the Board meeting.

Date: 6/16/26

Public Participation Form

Instructions: Fill out all appropriate blanks. Please print or write legibly.

NAME:

Holly Duong

HOME ADDRESS:

TELEPHONE:

EMAIL ADDRESS:

hduong1@centerwell.com

If you do represent a group or organization, please state the name, address and telephone number of that group or organization.

Which agenda item (or items) do you wish to address?

DFC

NOTE: The public may also bring up any issue that is not on the current agenda as long as that issue is relevant to the jurisdiction and operations of the District but only for the purpose of asking that the issue be placed on a future agenda.

Signature:

Holly Duong

NOTE: This Public Participation Form must be presented to the Board at the beginning of the Board meeting.

Date: 6-16-26

Public Participation Form

Instructions: Fill out all appropriate blanks. Please print or write legibly.

NAME: TRACY BOWMAN

HOME ADDRESS: _____


TELEPHONE: _____

EMAIL ADDRESS: FISHERLANE TEAM @ YAHOO.COM

If you do represent a group or organization, please state the name, address and telephone number of that group or organization.

Which agenda item (or items) do you wish to address? DFC INDICATOR/TRIGGER

NOTE: The public may also bring up any issue that is not on the current agenda as long as that issue is relevant to the jurisdiction and operations of the District but only for the purpose of asking that the issue be placed on a future agenda.

Signature:  _____

NOTE: This Public Participation Form must be presented to the Board at the beginning of the Board meeting.

Date: _____

6-16-26

Public Participation Form

Instructions: Fill out all appropriate blanks. Please print or write legibly.

NAME: _____

Richard Corraler

HOME ADDRESS: 404 N. Green St.

Brackstville, TX 78832

TELEPHONE: _____

210 781 8535

EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

If you do represent a group or organization, please state the name, address and telephone number of that group or organization.

Leon Apache Band of Texas


201 E. 6th Paso St. Brackstville 210 781 8535

Which agenda item (or items) do you wish to address? _____

DRUGS

NOTE: The public may also bring up any issue that is not on the current agenda as long as that issue is relevant to the jurisdiction and operations of the District but only for the purpose of asking that the issue be placed on a future agenda.

Signature: _____



NOTE: This Public Participation Form must be presented to the Board at the beginning of the Board meeting.

Date: _____

6-16-26

Public Participation Form

Instructions: Fill out all appropriate blanks. Please print or write legibly.

NAME: _____

Curtis Boehme

HOME ADDRESS: _____

455 CR 452

Hondo TX 78861

TELEPHONE: _____

210-288-0027

EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

curtis.boehme@gmail.com

If you do represent a group or organization, please state the name, address and telephone number of that group or organization.

Which agenda item (or items) do you wish to address? _____

NOTE: The public may also bring up any issue that is not on the current agenda as long as that issue is relevant to the jurisdiction and operations of the District but only for the purpose of asking that the issue be placed on a future agenda.

Signature: _____

Curtis Boehme

NOTE: This Public Participation Form must be presented to the Board at the beginning of the Board meeting.

Date: 6-16-2021

Public Participation Form

Instructions: Fill out all appropriate blanks. Please print or write legibly.

NAME:

Christie Palmer

HOME ADDRESS: _____

TELEPHONE: _____

EMAIL ADDRESS:

fortclarkchristie@yahoo.com

If you do represent a group or organization, please state the name, address and telephone number of that group or organization.

Which agenda item (or items) do you wish to address? DFC

NOTE: The public may also bring up any issue that is not on the current agenda as long as that issue is relevant to the jurisdiction and operations of the District but only for the purpose of asking that the issue be placed on a future agenda.

Signature: _____

Christie Palmer

NOTE: This Public Participation Form must be presented to the Board at the beginning of the Board meeting.

Date: 6/16/26

Public Participation Form

Instructions: Fill out all appropriate blanks. Please print or write legibly.

NAME: Lise Rogers

HOME ADDRESS: 309 E. Veltman St


TELEPHONE: 904 881 0838

EMAIL ADDRESS: chanke1@aol.com

If you do represent a group or organization, please state the name, address and telephone number of that group or organization.

Which agenda item (or items) do you wish to address? Spring

NOTE: The public may also bring up any issue that is not on the current agenda as long as that issue is relevant to the jurisdiction and operations of the District but only for the purpose of asking that the issue be placed on a future agenda.

Signature: 

NOTE: This Public Participation Form must be presented to the Board at the beginning of the Board meeting.

Date: 6/16/26

Public Participation Form

Instructions: Fill out all appropriate blanks. Please print or write legibly.

NAME:

Gage Brown

HOME ADDRESS:

402 Fritter St Brackettville, TX

TELEPHONE:

830 279 8382

EMAIL ADDRESS:

gagedbrown@gmail.com

If you do represent a group or organization, please state the name, address and telephone number of that group or organization.

LMSCA

Which agenda item (or items) do you wish to address?

1 B

NOTE: The public may also bring up any issue that is not on the current agenda as long as that issue is relevant to the jurisdiction and operations of the District but only for the purpose of asking that the issue be placed on a future agenda.

Signature:

[Signature]

NOTE: This Public Participation Form must be presented to the Board at the beginning of the Board meeting.